Climate Change and the Transformation of Human Environments

Integrated Climate Adaptation for Settlements: A Concept for Collaborative Research and Practical Work

New Euro Vision: exhibitions, marketing, research s.r.o.[1]

DOI: https://doi.org/10.66659/q04h8w47

Published: November 21, 2026

How to cite: New Euro Vision: exhibitions, marketing, research s.r.o. Integrated Climate Adaptation for Settlements: A Concept for Collaborative Research and Practical Work. 2026.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.66659/q04h8w47

Abstract

This article proposes a developing area of practical and research work: Integrated Climate Adaptation for Settlements. It addresses the gap between specialized knowledge and the need to make connected practical decisions. Climate change affects infrastructure, water supply, buildings, municipal governance, healthcare, the medical-geographical situation and quality of life, while relevant knowledge and programmes often remain separate.

The proposed approach involves sustained collaboration among local experts, relevant organizations, municipal authorities and external specialists. It starts from the recognition that much of the required knowledge, planning and practical work already exists locally. The project is intended not to replace this work with an external assessment, but to identify connections across fields, examine existing adaptation experience, identify gaps, assess whether standards remain applicable under changing conditions, and evaluate the results of expenditure.

The financial dimension has a distinct role. Cost-effectiveness is considered in terms of its contribution to settlement viability, continuity of essential services and the preservation of an acceptable quality of life. A special version of the Architecture of Scientific Recognition is currently being prepared for integrated climate adaptation of settlements. A project may include intensive training in collaborative analysis. This article presents the first conceptual version of the area, which will be refined through publications and collaborative projects.

Keywords: climate adaptation; settlements; integration of expert knowledge; municipal governance; cost-effectiveness; medical-geographical situation; standards; Architecture of Scientific Recognition.

[1] Corresponding author contact@pollution-diseases.org

Key Points

1. Climate adaptation requires connecting specialized knowledge with practical decisions at the local level.
2. Projects are based on cooperation with local experts and authorities and make use of existing data, plans and experience.
3. Expenditure should be assessed in relation to settlement viability and residents' quality of life.
4. Analysis of existing adaptation measures helps make use of accumulated experience and reduce the risk of repeating costly mistakes.
5. A project can identify whether current standards account for changes in the natural environment and whether they need revision.
6. The medical-geographical situation, including the condition of freshwater resources, should be part of local analysis.
7. The Architecture of Scientific Recognition is being adapted for this task; training in the approach may form part of a collaborative project.

1. Problem Statement

For addressing settlement-level problems, a wide range of specialized knowledge is available. Engineers study infrastructure; water specialists examine water supply and wastewater management; medical professionals investigate the health effects of climatic and environmental pressures; architects work on buildings and the urban environment; economists assess costs; and public authorities deal with mandates, priorities and available resources.

The existence of separate studies and programmes does not in itself ensure that they add up to a coordinated solution. Municipalities must consider climate change, the condition of infrastructure, population needs, applicable standards, budget constraints and implementation timelines at the same time.

This creates a problem of fragmentation. Knowledge exists, but the connections between areas and the mutual consequences of decisions may remain insufficiently visible. Water disruptions affect not only utility services, but also public health, the operation of institutions and businesses, social inequality and everyday life. The settlement should therefore be treated as a system of interconnected living conditions and services.

This article proposes developing Integrated Climate Adaptation for Settlements as collaborative practical and research work on the climate adaptation of cities, towns, villages and other inhabited areas. The proposal is not for a single report or one-off assessment, but for possible long-term work with a particular place.

2. An Integrated Approach and Local Conditions

Integration does not mean that one team possesses all the necessary expertise or can independently study every aspect of a settlement. It means that a project helps establish how separate bodies of knowledge, decisions and expenditures relate to a shared practical task.

This approach involves identifying climate changes and risks, examining existing programmes and local knowledge, considering connections between services and infrastructure, identifying gaps, and discussing possible measures.

The analytical logic and main questions may be shared, but the answers depend on the place. The risks, resources, institutions and priorities of New York and a settlement in Nigeria may differ substantially. The method should allow different cases to be studied without assuming that their conditions are the same.

Integration should not conceal disagreements among experts or reduce specialist knowledge to a single final figure. It should make visible differences in data, concepts, scale of analysis and outcome criteria, and explain how these differences affect decisions. This approach is consistent with the need to organize analysis around a specific settlement, its infrastructure, residents' needs and the services on which they depend [2].

3. Cooperation with Local Participants

A project does not start from zero. Specialists and organizations may already be active in a settlement; development plans and climate programmes may be in place; engineering assessments may have been conducted; and statistics and practical experience may have accumulated. Local knowledge also exists that is not always reflected in publications or publicly available databases.

Local specialists and authorities participate as more than sources of information. They help define the problem itself: which changes are considered most dangerous, which services are critical, what measures have already been tried, and what mandates and constraints apply.

External specialists and a company laboratory may carry out specific parts of the work: organizing joint analysis, identifying interdisciplinary connections, systematizing materials, noting uncertainty and comparing options. The laboratory does not replace local experts or public authorities. Responsibilities are determined for each project.

4. Limited Local Scientific Capacity and Addressing Knowledge Gaps

In some settlements, the problem is not only that expert knowledge is fragmented, but also that specialists themselves are difficult to access. Based on the author's personal experience, local authorities in some African countries may face shortages of qualified personnel, and universities or research institutes may not be available nearby. Similar conditions may exist in some countries or regions of Latin America, although the situation in each place must be assessed separately.

A shortage of expertise may be especially significant in the natural sciences. Climate adaptation requires more than knowing how the climate is changing in general. It requires understanding what is happening in a particular area: how local conditions, water resources and other components of the natural environment are changing, and which areas and population groups are affected. If such a scientific assessment is not locally available, municipal authorities may have to make decisions with a substantial lack of information about their own territory.

One project task is therefore to help local authorities develop a scientifically grounded understanding of the natural and climatic conditions of a particular settlement. This involves active use of the Architecture of Scientific Recognition and artificial-intelligence tools to find, systematize and compare scientific publications, available data, mapping materials and observation results. These tools can help identify what information already exists, what is missing and what conclusions are justified by the available evidence.

Artificial intelligence does not replace field observations, subject-matter specialists or source verification. Its role is to make work with scattered materials more manageable and to make available scientific information more useful for local decisions. Sources, assumptions, uncertainty and gaps should be clearly identified, and interpretations discussed with local participants and specialists.

The new version of the Architecture of Scientific Recognition should therefore address two related situations: the need to integrate knowledge that is already available and the need to help address its scarcity. This is particularly important for settlements where scientific infrastructure is limited or absent. The feasibility and limits of this support must be established separately for each project.

5. Adapting the Architecture of Scientific Recognition

The Architecture of Scientific Recognition was proposed for working with complex scientific problems, including situations involving fragmented knowledge and limits to understanding [3]. In climate adaptation, this is complemented by practical questions: what decision is needed, who can make it, what resources are available, and how possible consequences can be assessed.

A special version of the Architecture of Scientific Recognition is currently being prepared for the tasks of integrated climate adaptation of settlements. Despite differences between particular places, many practical problems recur: water supply, buildings, infrastructure, essential services, standards and coordination. Changes may be substantial, but the recurring character of these tasks makes it possible to develop a working adaptation of the method. It can provide a strong basis for effectively studying the problems of a specific settlement. The approach will be refined through collaborative work on concrete projects.

The proposed working logic includes several interconnected stages:

1. Define the practical problem. Clarify what decision is required or what deterioration in living conditions needs to be prevented.
2. Systematize existing knowledge and activity. Gather information about local specialists, data, programmes, plans, infrastructure and measures already implemented.
3. Identify connections and gaps. Examine how risks and decisions affect one another and what information is missing.
4. Develop options for action. Local participants and specialists discuss measures, constraints and the sequence of actions.
5. Assess costs and results. Consider cost, timing, maintenance, the consequences of delay and effects on living conditions.
6. Monitor and revise. Update assessments and decisions as new data and experience become available.

This is an initial scheme. It will change through methodological development and application in specific projects.

6. Reviewing Existing Measures and Accumulated Experience

Many settlements are already undertaking efforts to adapt to climate change. A varied body of experience has accumulated: some measures have produced useful results, while others have proved insufficient, created new problems or increased the vulnerability of particular groups and areas. An integrated project should therefore examine ongoing work both in the settlement itself and elsewhere.

The purpose of this analysis is not to transfer ready-made solutions mechanically, but to establish the conditions in which they were applied, the results achieved, their cost, their maintenance requirements and any side effects. This review helps make use of knowledge already gained and avoid spending resources on repeating mistakes.

Adaptation errors can create long-term inertia. Infrastructure, buildings and decisions embedded in planning are designed to last. If they fit changing conditions poorly, correcting them may require substantial expenditure, technical restructuring and a review of management decisions. The IPCC notes the risk of lock-in when such decisions increase vulnerability or become costly and difficult to change [4, 5].

Analysis of past and current measures should therefore consider not only their original objectives but also their consequences over time. Reviewing successful and unsuccessful experience before measures are selected and while they are being implemented can help optimize adaptation.

7. Standards and Changing Conditions

Experts working in a settlement often act within current regulations, technical standards and administrative procedures. However, a changing climate may create conditions that these standards did not anticipate or address adequately.

One project task is to determine how well current regulatory and planning frameworks correspond to the observed and expected conditions of a particular settlement. This may include examining climatic assumptions, technical criteria in use, the timing of standards reviews and risks not covered by routine practice.

A project may formulate proposals to clarify standards, but formal changes to binding requirements fall within the remit of the competent authorities. The role of collaborative analysis is to provide local data and arguments that can inform such a review.

8. The Medical-Geographical Situation

The medical-geographical situation should be treated as an important part of a settlement assessment. Climate change may affect conditions on which public health and the operation of medical services depend. Freshwater is one example: its availability, quality, seasonality and safety, as well as the reliability of supply systems.

The relationship between climatic and environmental change and health may differ from place to place. A project should therefore examine not only general climate indicators but also the specific spatial picture: where and what changes are occurring, which population groups are exposed, what services are available, and how everyday living conditions are changing.

A deterioration in the local medical-geographical situation may be a real process that requires identification and documentation. It should neither be assumed automatically for every place nor dismissed on the basis of averaged indicators. Local analysis is needed, drawing on available information about the natural environment, freshwater, population health and services.

Publications and research materials already accumulated in the journal Pollution and Diseases may contribute to developing this part of the approach. A later version of the article should identify specific relevant journal publications and establish which are applicable to settlement assessment.

9. Cost-Effectiveness and Settlement Viability

Financial assessment is a distinct component of the integrated approach. It is important to understand not only the cost of individual projects, but also the results produced by expenditure already made and expenditure planned.

Effectiveness should not be reduced solely to direct financial returns. Investment may matter because it reduces the likelihood of interruptions to essential services, helps prevent serious losses, or preserves conditions needed for people to live and work.

Where data are available, a project may consider:

- expenditure already made on adaptation and related measures;
- the results achieved and how they were assessed;
- the resources required for ongoing maintenance;
- the consequences of delaying action;
- which population groups receive protection and which remain vulnerable;
- which experience from other settlements is applicable to this case and under what conditions.

Comparisons with other cities can help identify possible solutions, but must account for differences in climate, infrastructure, resources, institutions and costs. Neither the cost nor the outcome of one project can be transferred mechanically to another settlement.

The central question is whether adaptation expenditure helps preserve settlement viability, continuity of basic services and an acceptable quality of life. Discussion of Cape Town and the risk of serious disruption to its water supply illustrates the practical urgency of this question. A detailed analysis of any particular case would require a separate documentary evidence base.

The proposed approach does not promise in advance that costs will be reduced. Its purpose is to help identify duplication, make use of existing data and experience, justify priorities and reduce the likelihood of costly mistakes. Potential savings must be assessed in each project.

10. Relationship to the Municipal Master Plan

Integrated climate adaptation may complement a municipal master plan for a city or other settlement, if such a plan exists. It can help examine how climate change affects the plan’s assumptions and decisions concerning land use, infrastructure, buildings, the location of services and residents’ living conditions.

This work does not replace a master plan and does not assume that all countries use the same system of spatial planning. The applicable documents and procedures may differ depending on the country, the status of the settlement and the structure of governance. If there is no master plan, or if it is outdated, the project should take account of the plans and decision-making mechanisms actually in use.

11. Intensive Training in New Skills

Joint analysis of fragmented knowledge and changing conditions may require skills that participants do not all use in their routine work. A project may therefore include intensive training in the Architecture of Scientific Recognition.

Such training does not replace participants' professional knowledge. Its purpose is to help them examine a complex object together, distinguish data from assumptions, identify uncertainty and revise interpretations when new information becomes available. These skills can be acquired through focused training and then applied directly to the project.

Dmitry Nikolaenko's book Learning to Understand the Object: Scientific Recognition Architecture may serve as training material. It presents chapters, logical diagrams and learning modules for independent study and group work [3]. The specific content and duration of training should be determined by the project's tasks and participants' needs.

12. Links with International and Inter-City Initiatives

Climate adaptation in settlements is already developing as a collective international practice. UN-Habitat offers principles for urban climate action planning, including cross-sector coordination, participation by local stakeholders, practical feasibility and evaluation of results [6]. The UNDRR initiative Making Cities Resilient 2030 brings local authorities and partners together to exchange knowledge, assess risks and strengthen urban resilience [7]. The Global Covenant of Mayors uses a common climate reporting framework that supports comparability while retaining flexibility for local conditions [8]. C40 guidance brings together city experience in preparing, implementing and evaluating climate plans [9].

The proposed programme should take these materials, existing plans and tools, and the experience of cities and professional organizations into account. Its purpose is not to create a parallel system of requirements, but to help apply accumulated knowledge to a particular place and connect it with local data, experts and authorities.

The anticipated practical value of this development is to improve collaborative work and the use of resources: build on completed studies, avoid duplication, identify applicable experience and detect in advance decisions that may be difficult and costly to correct. This is not a claim that existing international initiatives fail to address such tasks. The proposed distinctive feature is a task-oriented adaptation of the Architecture of Scientific Recognition and the integration, within one project, of local expertise, regulatory analysis, the medical-geographical situation, expenditure, existing experience and participant training.

Actual effectiveness and any reduction in costs must be verified in each individual project.

13. Forums and Follow-Up Work

Professional forums can help municipal representatives, specialists, companies and research groups meet and begin discussing possible cooperation. Topics such as water and infrastructure, urban governance, construction and modernization, agriculture and food security are connected to the broader context of environmental change and climate adaptation.

A forum creates an opportunity for contact, but it is not a completed adaptation project. Work may continue afterward through agreement on tasks, data analysis, the engagement of specialists and implementation of separate stages of a collaborative project. The participants and timeline depend on the particular settlement and its needs.

14. Initial Development Programme

The proposed area is at an early stage. Its further development will require clarification of the following:

- how to systematize existing knowledge, plans and gaps;
- how to assess whether standards remain applicable under changing conditions;
- how to include the medical-geographical situation and freshwater conditions;
- how to assess past and planned expenditure;
- how to compare experience across settlements;
- what skills local participants need and what training is appropriate;
- how to relate a project to a master plan and other local documents;
- how to document results and refine the method as experience accumulates.

The approach will develop through publications and collaborative projects. Its content should be refined on the basis of local data, participants' experience and the results of completed work.

Conclusion

Integrated Climate Adaptation for Settlements is proposed as a developing area of collaborative practical and research work. It addresses the fragmentation of expert knowledge, takes local conditions into account and involves cooperation with experts, relevant organizations and municipal authorities.

A project considers climate risks together with existing measures, standards, infrastructure, the medical-geographical situation, freshwater conditions and development planning. It also assesses how expenditure can help preserve settlement viability and quality of life and, where needed, provides intensive training in new skills for collaborative analysis.

The Architecture of Scientific Recognition may provide a methodological foundation for this work. A special version for settlement-level tasks is currently being prepared and will be refined through collaborative projects. Forums can serve as an initial platform for meeting and forming partnerships; the principal work takes place afterward, together with local participants.

This article presents the first conceptual version of the proposed area. It does not claim that a universal, completed method already exists. Its purpose is to establish a basis for further methodological development, publications and practical application.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement

No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

References and Learning Materials

[1] New Euro Vision: exhibitions, marketing, research s.r.o. Laboratory of Climate Change and Settlement Adaptation. Charter. Pollution and Diseases. 2026. Article and laboratory charter. DOI: https://doi.org/wa7nwq28 Link

[2] Nikolaenko D. “Climate Change and Human Settlements: From Analysis to Practical Action.” Pollution and Diseases. 2026. DOI: https://doi.org/m2aq8b75 Link

[3] Nikolaenko D. Learning to Understand the Object: Scientific Recognition Architecture. Pollution & Diseases Book Series, Volume 5. Prague; 2026. Description and electronic edition. Link

[4] IPCC. “Cities, Settlements and Key Infrastructure.” In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report. Chapter 6. Link

[5] IPCC. “Summary for Policymakers.” In: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report. Link

[6] UN-Habitat. Guiding Principles for City Climate Action Planning. Link

[7] UNDRR. The Ten Essentials for Making Cities Resilient. Making Cities Resilient 2030. Link

[8] Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy. Common Reporting Framework. Link

[9] C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group. Introducing the Climate Action Planning Guide for Cities. Link