Climate Change and Food Security: Connecting Production, Preservation and Access

Dmitry Nikolaenko [1]

DOI: https://doi.org/10.66659/scsrg226

Published: November 22, 2026

How to cite: Nikolaenko D. Climate Change and Food Security: Connecting Production, Preservation and Access. 2026. DOI: https://doi.org/10.66659/scsrg226

Abstract

Food security under changing climatic conditions requires attention to the connections between agricultural production, the preservation and movement of food, and people's ability to obtain an adequate diet. Improvements in one part of this system do not necessarily produce improvements in the others. Additional production may be lost during storage and transport, while reliable supplies may remain economically inaccessible to particular communities. This article presents the rationale for three connected professional forums addressing soil, water and agricultural production; food storage and cold chains; and food security and supply systems. The forums are conceived as successive opportunities to examine a shared practical problem from different professional perspectives. Particular attention is given to local environmental conditions, infrastructure dependencies, limited access to expertise, and the feasibility of proposed measures. The Laboratory of Climate Change and Food Security provides an organisational framework for preparatory analysis, international expert participation, publications and subsequent collaborative work. The purpose is to connect scientific understanding with decisions that producers, businesses, public authorities and communities can implement and assess.

Keywords: climate change; food security; agricultural production; soil and water; food storage; cold chains; supply systems; local adaptation; international expert cooperation.

[1] Corresponding author contact@pollution-diseases.org

Key Points

1. Food security depends on the connections between production, preservation, distribution and access.
2. Adaptation measures addressing climate change should be assessed across these connections, including the additional dependencies they create.
3. Three professional forums provide distinct but complementary settings for examining the same food system under new and changing conditions.
4. Local conditions, available expertise and operating capacity determine whether a proposed solution is workable.
5. Preparatory publications and continuing laboratory activity can turn individual meetings into a sustained programme of practical work.

A Shared Problem Across Different Professions

A farmer, a refrigeration engineer, a food distributor and a municipal official encounter different aspects of food security. Their responsibilities, information and working timescales differ. Yet the effectiveness of their decisions depends partly on what happens outside their immediate field of responsibility.

A change in agricultural production can alter the timing, volume and characteristics of the products entering storage. A storage investment can create additional demands for electricity, maintenance and transport. A change in procurement can influence which producers retain access to markets. The price paid by a household reflects conditions and decisions across this wider system.

Climate adaptation therefore requires more than separate discussions of agricultural methods, refrigeration equipment or food distribution. It requires examination of the connections between them.

The central question is practical: how can a particular place maintain access to adequate food as the environmental, technical and economic conditions supporting its food system change?

This question guides the proposed series of three professional forums within New Vision Forums. Their purpose is to bring specialised knowledge into a shared discussion while retaining the technical depth required by each subject.

Changing Conditions and Existing Systems

Food systems contain arrangements developed around previous experience: seasonal calendars, expectations about water availability, established storage practices, transport schedules and assumptions about the reliability of essential services. These arrangements require reassessment when the conditions on which they depend change.

The task is not to attribute every production difficulty or supply disruption to climate change. Environmental pressures interact with land management, infrastructure condition, business decisions, demographic change and institutional capacity. A useful assessment must distinguish these influences and identify where the evidence remains incomplete.

Nor should adaptation be reduced to the purchase of new equipment. A technical intervention may be appropriate, but its performance depends on the system in which it operates. Irrigation requires an assessment of water availability and competing demands. Refrigeration requires reliable power, competent operation and maintenance. A new distribution arrangement requires viable transport routes, sufficient demand and clear responsibilities.

The approach developed in Climate Change and Human Settlements: From Analysis to Practical Action is relevant here: broad knowledge must be translated into decisions for particular places, with explicit attention to costs, timing and implementation [1]. For food systems, this means following the consequences of a proposed measure beyond the point at which it is introduced.

International Knowledge and the Contribution of the Programme

The proposed programme draws on an established body of international assessment and guidance. The IPCC assesses climate impacts, adaptation options and limits across food-producing systems [2]. FAO's work on land and water resources examines the environmental foundations of agricultural production [3], while its assessment of agrifood-system resilience addresses the capacity to maintain food availability and access through shocks and stresses [4]. The joint UNEP–FAO report on sustainable food cold chains connects preservation technologies with energy, environmental performance, finance and operating conditions [5]. The High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition provides a broader conceptual framework encompassing access, sustainability and people's ability to influence food-system decisions [6]. The Committee on World Food Security's Voluntary Guidelines connect food systems with nutrition and coordinated policy [7], while the joint FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO report on financing food security and nutrition examines the resources needed to support implementation [8]. At the territorial level, the FAO–RUAF assessment and planning handbook provides a particularly relevant approach to the relationships between cities, surrounding rural areas and the food systems connecting them [9]. Together, these documents offer complementary foundations for the three forums; each addresses a different part of the problem.

Our proposed contribution is a focused application of this international knowledge to specific territories and settlements facing changing climatic conditions. Through the three forums and the Laboratory, we intend to bring local authorities, producers, storage and distribution operators into working contact with experts able to examine their particular problems. The work would begin with a defined locality and decision: what is changing, which connections in its food system are vulnerable, what information is missing, and which responses can be implemented with available resources? Cooperation between territories can support comparative case studies, exchange of operational experience and joint expert assignments, while retaining the differences in climate, infrastructure and institutional capacity that determine whether an approach is transferable. Where participants agree to continue, these contacts can develop into scoped assessments, feasibility studies or pilot proposals with identified responsibilities and criteria for evaluating results. The aim is to contribute to the wider international effort through manageable, place-specific work that connects production, preservation and access, particularly where local specialist capacity is limited.

Three Forums, One Connected Programme

The planned 2027 programme brings together three meetings in Prague. The sequence moves from the environmental foundations of production through the preservation and movement of products to the conditions of access. In practice, the relationships also work in the opposite direction. Dietary needs, purchasing power, procurement arrangements and storage capacity influence what can usefully be produced.

Each forum should therefore address its own professional questions while identifying implications for the other two.

Forum	Planned timing	Central question
Soil, Water & Agricultural Production	June 2027	How can production adapt to changing local environmental conditions?
Food Storage & Cold Chains	August 2027	How can food quality and usable supplies be maintained between production and consumption?
Food Security & Supply Systems	November 2027	How can communities maintain reliable access to safe, nutritious and affordable food?

Soil, Water and Agricultural Production

The first forum begins with the environmental basis of food production. Its concern is the suitability of agricultural practices under the conditions of a particular place, including changes in soil moisture, water availability, seasonal patterns and exposure to extreme events.

Discussion should connect environmental assessment with decisions about crops, cultivation methods, irrigation, drainage, soil management and the timing of operations. These decisions have different costs, implementation periods and consequences. Some can be adjusted within a season; others commit land, infrastructure and financial resources for many years.

A central issue is the distinction between maintaining production in the short term and preserving the conditions that make continued production possible. A measure that supports an immediate harvest may create a longer-term dependence on scarce water, costly inputs or technical services that are difficult to sustain.

The forum should also examine the relationship between production and subsequent handling. A proposal to grow a different crop, for example, should consider its storage requirements, processing options, transport needs and likely market. Agronomic suitability alone does not establish the practical viability of the change.

The intended result is a better-defined set of production problems and adaptation options, together with the evidence and operational conditions needed to assess them.

Food Storage and Cold Chains

The second forum addresses what happens after production. Its starting point is that producing food and retaining it in a usable condition are distinct tasks.

Storage should be considered as part of a continuous process that includes harvesting, initial handling, drying or cooling where appropriate, processing, packaging,

transport and distribution. Weaknesses between these stages can undermine otherwise competent work within an individual facility.

Different products require different approaches. Dry commodities, fresh produce, dairy products and other perishable foods cannot be treated as a single technical category. Appropriate measures may include improved handling, moisture control, ventilation, drying, insulation, refrigeration or changes in the time between production and delivery.

Cold-chain proposals require particular attention to operating conditions. The relevant questions extend beyond the nominal performance of equipment: what happens during a power interruption; who maintains the system; how quickly can spare parts be obtained; what information is recorded; and what arrangements are available when normal operations cannot continue?

Consider, as an illustrative planning problem, a community seeking to expand fresh-produce production. Additional output could exceed existing handling and storage capacity. Installing a cold room might address one constraint while introducing another if electricity supply or maintenance is unreliable. The appropriate response would depend on the product, local demand, delivery distances and available alternatives.

This example shows why production and preservation should be assessed together. The August forum provides a setting for examining these dependencies in practical detail.

Food Security and Supply Systems

The third forum examines the system from the perspective of the people who depend on it.

The laboratory charter explicitly distinguishes food availability from physical and economic access, adequate utilisation and stability over time [10]. This distinction matters for the forum programme. A larger harvest, a new warehouse or an expanded distribution network cannot, by itself, demonstrate that food security has improved.

Assessment should identify which populations benefit, which remain exposed and how the situation changes across seasons or during disruptions. Relevant groups may include low-income households, residents of remote settlements and people dependent on institutional meals or a narrow range of suppliers.

The forum should examine procurement, supplier diversity, local and regional production, external supply relationships, transport, storage and affordability as connected questions. It should also consider the resources available to public authorities and businesses responsible for maintaining services.

Neither reliance on local production nor reliance on external supplies should be treated as a universal answer. Local production may reduce some dependencies while remaining exposed to shared environmental conditions. External suppliers may provide alternatives while introducing transport, price or coordination risks. The task is to assess the actual combination of dependencies in a particular place.

The November forum brings the earlier discussions together by asking whether proposed changes in production and preservation improve the reliability and accessibility of the food system as a whole.

An International Programme Grounded in Local Conditions

The forums will take place in Europe, but their analytical scope should extend well beyond European conditions.

A proposal that assumes dependable electricity, nearby laboratories, accessible finance and rapid equipment servicing may have limited value where those conditions are absent. Conversely, experience of operating with constrained resources may offer useful lessons to places seeking less demanding or more robust arrangements.

Particular attention should be given to locations where severe environmental pressures coincide with limited technical and institutional capacity. In such settings, identifying a problem may be difficult because the relevant observations, records or specialist assessments are unavailable. Implementation can then be constrained by the same shortage of expertise.

International participation is valuable when it helps address these specific gaps. Local knowledge is necessary to establish what happens in practice; external expertise can contribute methods, comparisons and technical assessment. Their combination should improve the definition of the problem and the evaluation of possible responses.

The purpose is not to transfer a complete solution from one country to another. It is to establish which elements are applicable, which require modification and which depend on conditions that do not exist in the intended location.

From Discussion to Defined Work

The value of the forums should be assessed partly by the quality of the work they make possible.

Preparatory materials can introduce specific cases before a meeting. A useful case would identify the location, the product or population concerned, the observed changes, the existing arrangements and the decision that needs to be made. It should also distinguish established information from assumptions and unresolved questions.

During the forum, participants can examine competing explanations and possible measures. Company presentations can contribute to this process when they explain operating requirements, evidence of performance, costs and limitations alongside the proposed benefits.

Subsequent work may take the form of a focused assessment, a comparative study, a feasibility investigation or a carefully defined pilot. Where such work is agreed, it should identify responsibilities, required expertise, deliverables, resources and criteria for evaluating results.

A shared set of questions can connect contributions across all three forums:

- What is changing, and what evidence supports that assessment?

- Which part of the food system is affected, and who experiences the consequences?
- What measures are available under local conditions?
- Which additional dependencies or risks would each measure introduce?
- Who can implement, operate and maintain it?
- How will its effects be assessed?

These questions allow different professional contributions to be compared without forcing every subject into an identical format.

The Role of the Laboratory

The Laboratory of Climate Change and Food Security, an internal organisational and research unit of New Euro Vision: exhibitions, marketing, research s.r.o., provides a framework for work extending beyond the individual meetings.

Its charter covers research, publications, professional forums, international expert participation and the assessment of food-system dependencies [10]. Within this framework, preparatory studies can inform forum discussions, and questions emerging from the forums can become subjects for further analysis.

The laboratory can also provide continuity between the three themes. A question identified during the production forum may require storage expertise before a response can be assessed. A supply problem discussed in November may reveal a need to revisit agricultural choices or the location of storage facilities.

This continuing relationship between research, professional exchange and practical assignments is central to the initiative. A meeting creates an opportunity for contact and discussion. Sustained work requires an organisational setting in which responsibilities, expertise and outputs can be brought together.

Purpose of the Programme

The three forums are intended to develop a connected discussion of food security under changing climatic and environmental conditions. Their common subject is the ability of particular food systems to continue serving the people who depend on them.

Production, preservation and access require different forms of expertise. Their separation into professional fields is useful; their separation in practical decision-making can leave important dependencies unexamined.

The programme therefore seeks contributions that combine scientific rigour with a clear account of place, resources, implementation and consequences. Its purpose is to move from a general recognition of changing conditions towards well-defined problems, defensible choices and practical collaboration.

Conflict of Interest

The author declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement

No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

References and Learning Materials

1. Nikolaenko, D. (2026). Climate Change and Human Settlements: From Analysis to Practical Action. https://doi.org/10.66659/m2aq8b75

2. IPCC. (2022). Food, Fibre and Other Ecosystem Products. Chapter 5 in Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/chapter/chapter-5/

3. FAO. (2021). The State of the World's Land and Water Resources for Food and Agriculture – Systems at Breaking Point. Synthesis report. Rome. https://www.fao.org/3/cb7654en/cb7654en.pdf

4. FAO. (2021). The State of Food and Agriculture 2021. Making Agrifood Systems More Resilient to Shocks and Stresses. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/cb4476en

5. UNEP and FAO. (2022). Sustainable Food Cold Chains: Opportunities, Challenges and the Way Forward. https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0923en

6. HLPE. (2020). Food Security and Nutrition: Building a Global Narrative towards 2030. Report 15. High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security, Rome. https://www.fao.org/cfs/cfs-hlpe/publications/hlpe-15/en

7. Committee on World Food Security. (2021). Voluntary Guidelines on Food Systems and Nutrition. Rome. https://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/cfs/Docs2021/Documents/CFS_VGs_Food_Systems_and_Nutrition_Strategy_EN.pdf

8. FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. (2024). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2024. Financing to End Hunger, Food Insecurity and Malnutrition in All Its Forms. Rome, FAO. https://openknowledge.fao.org/handle/20.500.14283/cd1254en

9. FAO and RUAF. (2023). Building Sustainable and Resilient City Region Food Systems: Assessment and Planning Handbook. Rome, FAO. https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cc5184en

10. New Euro Vision: exhibitions, marketing, research s.r.o. (2026). Laboratory of Climate Change and Food Security. Charter. https://doi.org/10.66659/6e93kf50